	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/887,738	ROTHENBERGER, HELMUT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Terrence R. Till	1744
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) <u>Terrence R. Till</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>J. Crawford</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 December 2003</u>	Time: <u>2:45pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 21-44		
Prior art documents discussed: MacLeod		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN The specification was amended to provide basis for the examir was made to show the coupling, recited in claim 21	ERAL NATURE OF WHA ner's amendment to the draw	T WAS DISCUSSED: ings. The amendment to the drawings
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	the examiner will provide a record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
_10		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
((Examinor C) = Oignature)	The state of the s	• ' '